	2) Laboratory/WBS: [Highest level of	3) ORIGINATOR:
June 13, 2006	WBS affected]	Don Holmgren, FNAL
	Changes to the baseline established in	
	the BY07 submission of Exhibit 300.	
4) WBS DESCRIPTION OF	PRIMARY AFFECTED TASKS:	
This change request addresses (labor and consumables).	the movement of funds from DME categor	y (equipment) to steady state category
5) TECHNICAL DESCRIPT	ION AND PRIMARY MOTIVATION (DF CHANGE:
operations of the Lattice QCD	is change request are to accommodate the h facilities at all three laboratories, and to acc es). Both result in the movement of funds	commodate the identified need in FY07
5.10, and 5.10 FTE. The increase experience gained in FY06, plu hardware acquisitions in those	profile of 4.0, 4.4, and 4.4 FTE in FY07-F ases reflect the need for additional system a to 0.1 FTE each in FY07 and FY08 to perfor years. The need for the latter arises from the this project (hardware prototyping was a m	administration, reflecting the operational orm directed prototyping in support of the he news that the SciDAC-2 grant will not
The total cost impact of the add \$94K, and \$103K in FY07-FY	litional labor, plus a correction in the labor 09, respectively.	rate at BNL, is approximately \$116K,
assessment of tape costs based allocated scientific project, incl	osts of providing blank tapes to the storage upon estimates of storage requirements fro luding an extrapolation for the new allocati tely \$80K for 275 Tbytes of storage (\$290/	m the principal investigator of each ons that will begin in June of 2007,
preliminary comments, the revi 2005 review that the project wa to the science risk of insufficier	Y07 was presented at the May 25, 2006, D ewers supported the proposed manpower c as understaffed and noting that the reductio	hange, citing previous concerns from the n in delivered Tflops is minor compared
inanpower needs as werr, advis	ing the project to request at this time manp	
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour	ower increases for all three years.
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K	ower increases for all three years.
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K	ower increases for all three years. cces needed as reflected in the WBS) (FY09)
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig	 ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY 	ower increases for all three years. ces needed as reflected in the WBS) (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K	ower increases for all three years. ces needed as reflected in the WBS) (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of , 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over	 ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 	ower increases for all three years. ces needed as reflected in the WBS) (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of , 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an e "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over Tflops-yrs, respectively, in FY	IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 the life of the project. This will impact the 07-FY09, and aggregate decrease of 3% ov	ower increases for all three years. Crees needed as reflected in the WBS) (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an e "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 er the life of the project.
6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over Tflops-yrs, respectively, in FY 7) ASSESSMENT OF SCHE work or change in plan):	IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 the life of the project. This will impact the 07-FY09, and aggregate decrease of 3% ov	ower increases for all three years. (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an e "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 er the life of the project. ESTONES (identify slip or stretch of
 6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over Tflops-yrs, respectively, in FY0 7) ASSESSMENT OF SCHEE work or change in plan): This change request directly im 	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 the life of the project. This will impact the 07-FY09, and aggregate decrease of 3% ov DULE IMPACT AND AFFECTED MIL mpacts the M&S and labor cost distribution.	ower increases for all three years. (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of , 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an e "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 er the life of the project. ESTONES (identify slip or stretch of There is not net change to the total
 6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over Tflops-yrs, respectively, in FY 7) ASSESSMENT OF SCHEE work or change in plan): This change request directly im project funding. It will impact a 	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 the life of the project. This will impact the 07-FY09, and aggregate decrease of 3% ov DULE IMPACT AND AFFECTED MIL scientific deliverables (see discussion of sc	ower increases for all three years. (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of , 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an e "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 er the life of the project. ESTONES (identify slip or stretch of There is not net change to the total
 6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over Tflops-yrs, respectively, in FY0 7) ASSESSMENT OF SCHEE work or change in plan): This change request directly im 	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 the life of the project. This will impact the 07-FY09, and aggregate decrease of 3% ov DULE IMPACT AND AFFECTED MIL scientific deliverables (see discussion of sc	ower increases for all three years. (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of , 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an e "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 er the life of the project. ESTONES (identify slip or stretch of There is not net change to the total
 6) ASSESSMENT OF COST Estimated M&S Cost Increase Estimated Labor Cost Increase Estimated scientific impact (hig the new LQCD systems will dr aggregate decrease of 8% over Tflops-yrs, respectively, in FY 7) ASSESSMENT OF SCHE work or change in plan): This change request directly im project funding. It will impact and 8) SECONDARY IMPACT A 	ing the project to request at this time manp IMPACT (identify any change in resour (\$): \$80K (\$): \$116K (FY07), \$94K (FY08), \$103K gh, medium, and low): Low. In FY07, FY op as a result of this shift in funding by 0.3 the life of the project. This will impact the 07-FY09, and aggregate decrease of 3% ov DULE IMPACT AND AFFECTED MIL scientific deliverables (see discussion of sc	ower increases for all three years. ces needed as reflected in the WBS) (FY09) 08, and FY09, the planned capacities of , 0.3, and 0.4 Tflops, respectively, an "delivered" Tflops by 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 er the life of the project. ESTONES (identify slip or stretch of There is not net change to the total ientific impact in #6 above).

9) APPROVALS REQUIRED (approving authorities are PM, CCB (CCB chair signs for the CCB) and CPM)		
LQCD Project Manager Don HolmgrenSignature / Date: July 3, 2006		
LQCD CCB Chair Bob Sugar (see verification e-mails below) Signature / Date: July 20, 2006		
LQCD Contract Project Manager (not needed)Signature / Date		
10) APPROVAL STATUS [Filled by the Project Office]		
CR approved (Yes/No)?If yes, date of approval:If no, provide a brief list of reasons for rejection :Signature / Date		
Once the approving authority makes the decision, this person approves it by signing in the section 9 of this form or rejects it by listing reasons for rejection and initializing it. Please send an e-mail verification to <u>bakulb@fnal.gov</u> and FAX the signed copy to 630 840-6345.		

Approval e-mails: From: "Robert Sugar" <sugar@physics.ucsb.edu> To: "Don Holmgren" <djholm@fnal.gov> Cc: "Bakul Banerjee" <bakulb@fnal.gov> Subject: Fwd: Revised LQCD change control request documents Date: Thursday, July 20, 2006 9:57 AM

Hi Don,

With Vicky's approval, all members of the Change Control Board have now approved the two changes you recommended. They can be considered adopted. Thanks for your work on it.

Best, Bob

Begin forwarded message:

- > From: Victoria White <white@fnal.gov>
- > Date: July 20, 2006 6:13:51 AM PDT
- > To: "'Don Holmgren'" <djholm@fnal.gov>, "'Bob Sugar'"
- > <sugar@savar.physics.ucsb.edu>, "'Tom Schlagel'"
- > <schlagel@bnl.gov>, "'Steven Gottlieb''' <sg@indiana.edu>, "'Roy
- > Whitney''' <whitney@jlab.org>
- > Cc: "'Bakul Banerjee'" <bakulb@fnal.gov>
- > Subject: RE: Revised LQCD change control request documents

>

- > Dear Don and Bob,
- >
- > I approve of each of these changes.
- >
- > Vicky
- >
- >
- > ----- Original Message-----
- > From: Don Holmgren [mailto:djholm@fnal.gov]
- > Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 4:38 PM
- > To: Bob Sugar; Vicky White; Tom Schlagel; Steven Gottlieb; Roy Whitney
- > Cc: Bakul Banerjee; Don Holmgren
- > Subject: Revised LQCD change control request documents
- > >
- > Dear LQCD CCB Members -

>

- > When the LQCD CCB met by phone on June 14, we considered two change > requests:
- > o a schedule change for the Fermilab FY06 cluster, "Kaon"
- > o shifts in fund distribution in the FY07-FY09 budgets

>

> Both requests were tabled pending further discussions.

>

> The "Kaon" cluster purchase order was issued to the vendor on June

> 19th.

> Last week, I learned from the "Kaon" cluster vendor that they will

> be able

> to complete delivery in August. The first rack of twenty nodes

> will be

- > delivered to FNAL in mid-July, and an additional four racks will be
- > available by late July. The remaining racks will be delivered by
- > the end of
- > August.
- >
- > Given this delivery schedule, the revised date for "release to

> production"

- > in the original change request of November 1, 2006, is still valid.
- > As agreed during the June 14 CCB meeting, I have modified the
- > budget change
- > request so that only FY07 is affected. Also, the requested shift
- > in funds
- > from equipment to operating no longer includes labor for
- > prototyping (to be
- > covered by the base budgets) or the costs for tapes for storage.
- > JLab and
- > FNAL have agreed to cover projected tape costs in FY07 from their
- > existing
- > tape inventories and base budgets. We will re-examine tape costs next

> spring.

- >
- > The requested shift in funds in FY07 is \$102K. The increase in labor
- > profile funded by this shift is 0.75 FTE (from 4.0 FTE to
- > 4.75 FTE). The decrease in computing capacity, resulting from the

> decrease

- > of \$102K in equipment funds, is 0.2 TFlops (2.9 TFlops, instead of 3.1
- > TFlops). Because the FY06 clusters will exceed the goal for that
- > year (goal
- > was 2.0 TFlops, projected capacity is 0.3
- > (JLab) + 2.0 (FNAL) = 2.3 TFlops), this change will not affect the
- > "delivered TFlops-yrs" milestones.
- >
- > I have attached .doc and .pdf versions of both change requests.
- > Please send your approval or disapproval for each change request to

> the

> chairman, Bob Sugar.

>

> Don Holmgren

>